



# Prime Enrollees Consumer Watch

## 10th Med Group-USAF Academy CO ♦ FY 2018

Defense Health Cost Assessment & Program Evaluation

10th Med Group-USAF Academy CO: Sample size-3,039 Response rate-21.6%

Source: Health Care Survey of DoD Beneficiaries

### Inside Consumer Watch


TRICARE Consumer Watch shows what Prime enrollees at your MTF say about their healthcare in the Health Care Survey of DoD Beneficiaries (HCSDB). Every quarter, a representative sample of TRICARE beneficiaries are asked about their care in the last 12 months. Responses are adjusted for age and health status. Results from FY2016 to FY2018 are reported here. Starting in FY2013, results include scores from surveys fielded in only three quarters. The survey for quarter four was canceled as a result of sequestration.

The HCSDB includes questions from the Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (CAHPS), a survey designed to help consumers choose among health plans. Benchmark data, from the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) for 2017, are used in calculating benchmarks.

<sup>a</sup> Significantly exceeds benchmark ( $p < .05$ ).

<sup>b</sup> Significantly falls short of benchmark ( $p < .05$ ).

See appendix for table data.




### Health Care

Prime enrollees were asked to rate their healthcare from 0 to 10, where 0

is worst and 10 is best. Figure 1 shows the percentage who rated their healthcare 8 or above for each of the following time periods: 2016, 2017, and 2018.

Labels refer to the year a survey was fielded. Numbers in red italics are significantly different from the benchmark ( $p < .05$ ). Health care ratings depend on things like access to care, and how patients get along with the doctors, nurses, and other care providers who treat them.



### Health Plan

Prime enrollees were asked to rate their health plan from 0 to 10, where 0 is worst and 10 is best. Figure 2 shows the percentage who rated their plan 8 or above for each reporting period.

Health plan ratings depend on access to care and how the plan handles things like claims, referrals and customer complaints.

### Personal Provider

Prime enrollees who have a personal provider were asked to rate their personal provider from 0 to 10, where 0 is worst and 10 is best.





Figure 3 shows the percentage who rated their doctor 8 or above for each reporting period. Personal doctor ratings depend on how the patient gets along with the one doctor responsible for their basic care.

### Specialist

Enrollees who have consulted specialist physicians were asked to rate from 0 to 10 the specialist they had seen most in the previous 12 months.

Figure 4 shows the proportion of enrollees who rated their specialist 8 or above for each reporting period. Specialist ratings depend on beneficiaries' access to doctors with the special skills they need.



**Health Care Topics**

Health Care Topics scores average together results for related questions. Each score is the percentage who “usually” or “always” got treatment they wanted or had “no problem” getting a desired service.





Figure 5 (Access Composites) includes the composites “Getting needed care” and “Getting care quickly.” Scores in “Getting needed care” are based on getting referral to a specialist and getting needed treatments. “Getting care quickly” scores concern how long patients wait for an appointment or urgent care.

Figure 6 (Doctor’s Communication) includes the composite for “How well doctors communicate.” Scores in “How well doctors communicate” are based on whether the personal doctor spends enough time with patients, treats them respectfully and answers their questions.

Figure 7 (Claims/Service Composites) includes composite scores for “Customer service” and “Claims processing.” Scores in the “Customer service” composite concern patients’ ability to get courteous service and information about their health plan.


“Claims processing” scores are based on both timeliness and correctness.



**Preventive Care**

The preventive care table compares Prime enrollees’ rates for diagnostic screening tests and smoking cessation with goals from Healthy People 2020, a government initiative to improve Americans’ health by preventing illness.

The mammography rate shown is the proportion of women 40 or above with a mammogram in the past two years. Pap smear is the proportion of adult women screened for cervical cancer in the past three years.



Hypertension is the proportion of adults whose blood pressure was checked in the past two years and who know whether their pressure is too high. Prenatal care is the proportion of women pregnant now or in the past 12 months who received prenatal care in their first trimester. Percent not obese is the proportion with a body mass index below 30. The non-smoking rate is the proportion of adults who currently do not smoke. Counseled to quit is the number of smokers or tobacco users whose doctor told them to quit, over the number of smokers and tobacco users with an office visit in the past 12 months.

**Preventive Care**

Type of Care	2016	2017	2018	Healthy People 2020 Goal
Mammography (women ≥ 40)	95 <sup>a</sup>	74	90 <sup>a</sup>	81
Pap Smear (women ≥ 18)	61 <sup>b</sup>	67 <sup>b</sup>	55 <sup>b</sup>	93
Hypertension Screen (adults)	90	92	91	95
Prenatal Care (in 1st trimester)	-	-	-	78
Percent Not Obese (adults)	89 <sup>a</sup>	88 <sup>a</sup>	82 <sup>a</sup>	69
Non-Smokers (adults)	96 <sup>a</sup>	99 <sup>a</sup>	97 <sup>a</sup>	88
Counseled to Quit (adults)	-	-	-	-

<sup>a</sup>Numbers in green significantly exceed the Healthy People 2020 goal (p < .05).

<sup>b</sup>Numbers in red significantly fall short of the Healthy People 2020 goal (p < .05).

The number of responding beneficiaries for each type of care is in parentheses.

**Figure 1: Health Care Rating**

Year/Benchmark	Prime enrollee
Benchmark	73
2016	46 <sup>b</sup>
2017	59 <sup>b</sup>
2018	62 <sup>b</sup>

**Figure 2: Health Plan Rating**

Year/Benchmark	Prime enrollee
Benchmark	56
2016	54
2017	68 <sup>a</sup>
2018	61

**Figure 3: Personal Provider Rating**

Year/Benchmark	Prime enrollee
Benchmark	82
2016	59 <sup>b</sup>
2017	72 <sup>b</sup>
2018	65 <sup>b</sup>

**Figure 4: Specialist Rating**

Year/Benchmark	Prime enrollee
Benchmark	81
2016	75
2017	80
2018	72 <sup>b</sup>

**Figure 5: Access Composites**

Year	Getting Needed Care	Benchmark For Getting Needed Care	Getting Care Quickly	Benchmark For Getting Care Quickly
2016	78 <sup>b</sup>	85	72 <sup>b</sup>	83
2017	79 <sup>b</sup>	85	73 <sup>b</sup>	83
2018	73 <sup>b</sup>	85	74 <sup>b</sup>	83

**Figure 6: Doctor's Communication**

Year	Doctor's Communication	Benchmark
2016	81 <sup>b</sup>	95
2017	92	95
2018	88 <sup>b</sup>	95

**Figure 7: Claims/Service Composites**

Year	Customer Service	Benchmark For Customer Service	Claims Processing	Benchmark For Claims Processing
2016	56 <sup>b</sup>	85	65	86
2017	77	85	82	86
2018	69 <sup>b</sup>	85	74	86

## Preventive Care

Type of Care	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Healthy People 2020 Goal
Mammography (women >= 40)	95 <sup>a</sup>	74	90 <sup>a</sup> (195)	81
Pap Smear (women >=18)	61 <sup>b</sup>	67 <sup>b</sup>	55 <sup>b</sup> (282)	93
Hypertension Screen (adults)	90	92	91 (565)	95
Prenatal Care (in 1st trimester)	-	-	-	78
Percent Not Obese (adults)	89 <sup>a</sup>	88 <sup>a</sup>	82 <sup>a</sup> (539)	69
Non-Smokers (adults)	96 <sup>a</sup>	99 <sup>a</sup>	97 <sup>a</sup> (563)	88
Counseled to Quit (adults)	-	-	-	-

*a. Numbers in green significantly exceed the Healthy People 2020 goal (p< .05).*

*b. Numbers in red significantly fall short of the Healthy People 2020 goal (p< .05).*

*The number of responding beneficiaries for each type of care is in parentheses.*